

REMARKS

1. We thank the examiner for indicating that claims 5-6 are allowable. We have amended claim 1 to recite the limitations of claims 5 and 6 in the alternative.¹ This moots the prior art rejection of claims 1-4 and 8-12. Claims 5 and 6 are retained because they then further limit claim 1 to require a particular one of the two alternatives.

2. The 112 rejection of claim 7 is moot as that claim has been cancelled, without prejudice or disclaimer.

The case should now be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 
Iver P. Cooper
Reg. No. 28,005

624 Ninth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
IPC:lms
G:\ipc\u-z\WHBE\Bro1\pto amendment.wpd

¹ The term "and/or" is used to connect them since the two causes of over expression are not mutually exclusive. If the examiner dislikes this term, we can instead add a "or (3) both (1) and (2) above.